1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Vahag Matevosian, Esq. (State Bar No. 283710) consumerlitigationteam@kaass.com KAASS LAW 313 East Broadway, #944 Glendale, California 91209 Telephone. 310.943.1171 Attorneys for Plaintiff UNITED STATES	TOENTRAL BISTRICT COURT
9	CENTRAL DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA
10	GEORGE DAGLIYAN, an individual;) Plaintiff,	Caselvol: 2-087756BULPJWX
11		COMPLAINT FOR:
12	vs.) ADVANTA BANK CORP, a Utah)	1. Willful Violations of Federal Fair
13	Corporation) AMERICAN EXPRESS CENTURION)	Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b);
14	BANK, a New York Corporation BANK OF AMERICA N.A., a National	2. Negligent Violations of Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. §
15	Association) BMW FINANCIAL SERVICES, a Delaware)	1681s-2(b); 3. Intentional Violations of California
16	Limited Liability Company	Consumer Credit Reporting
17	CACH LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability) Company)	Agencies Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a);
18	CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA) N.A., a National Association	4. Negligent Violations of California Consumer Credit Reporting
19	CHASE BANK (USA) N.A., a National	Agencies Act, Cal. Civ. Code §
20	Association) FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY LLC, a)	1785.25(a); 5. Violations of Federal Fair Debt
21	Delaware Limited Liability Company) RESURGENT CAPITAL SERVICES, a)	Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C.
22	Delaware Limited Partnership)	§§ 1692(e), 1692(f) and 1692(g); 6. Violation of California Rosenthal
23	CITIBANK (S.D.) N.A., a National) Association)	Fair Debt Collections Practices Act, Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.
	TOWNSGATE CAPTIAL CORPORATION,	7. Defamation by Libel
24	a California Corporation) U.S. BANCORP, a Delaware Corporation)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
25	Defendants.	
26		
27	///	
28		
	1	1

COMPLAINT

1 ///

///

Plaintiff, through counsel, alleges:

4

2

3

5 6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

NATURE OF ACTION

This is a private action brought by an individual consumer under Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act ("FCRA"), 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b); Federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(e), 1692(f) and 1692(g); and their equivalent State Acts: California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act ("CCRAA"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a); and Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("RFDCPA"), Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction under 15 U.S.C. § 1681p, Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.33, 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.
- 2. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the State claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 3. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), where the acts or events giving rise to Plaintiff's action occurred in the State of California in the Central District of California, where Plaintiff resides in the said State and district, and where Defendants transacts business in said State and district.

PARTIES

- 4. Plaintiff, George Dagliyan ("Plaintiff"), is an individual who at all relevant times resided in the State of California, County of Los Angeles.
 - 5. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 6. Defendants ADVANTA BANK CORP ("ADVANTA"); AMERICAN EXPRESS CENTURAION BANK ("AMEX"); BANK OF AMERICA N.A. ("BANA"); BMW FINANCIAL SERVICES ("BMW"); CACH LLC ("CACH"); CAPITAL ONE BANK (USA) N.A. ("CAPITAL"); CHASE BANK (USA) N.A. ("CHASE"); FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY LLC ("FORD"); RESURGENT CAPITAL SERVICES ("RESURGENT"); CITIBANK (S.D.) N.A. ("CITI"); TOWNSGATE CAPITAL CORPORATION ("TOWNSGATE") and U.S.

- BANCORP ("USBANK") are each a "person" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1681a(b) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.3(j).
- 7. Defendants are each a "furnisher of information" as referenced under 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2 who, as part of their regular course of business, furnish information concerning consumers to the Credit Reporting Agencies.
- 8. Defendants CACH and RESURGENT are each a "debt collector" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6) and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(c), who at all relevant times were engaged in the business of collecting consumer debts, as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 9. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 20(a)(2) Plaintiff brings this action against Defendants under one joint complaint because any right to relief is asserted against them jointly, severally, or in the alternative with respect to or arising out of the same transaction, occurrence, or series of transactions or occurrences, and identical questions of law common to all defendants will arise.
- 10. When a reference in this Complaint is made to any act or omission of Defendants' corporation, company, association, business entity, or partnership, such allegation shall be deemed to mean that the Defendants and their owners, officers, directors, agents, employees, or representatives did or authorized such act or omission while engaged in the management, direction, or control of the affairs of Defendants and while acting within the scope and course of their duties.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

11. On or about February 2012, Plaintiff obtained his credit reports maintained by the three major credit reporting agencies: Experian, Equifax, and TransUnion (collectively "CRAs"). Upon review of the information contained in his credit reports, Plaintiff discovered accounts in derogatory status reported by Defendants ADVANTA (Partial Account No. 558418001815....); AMEX (Account No. 3499913950767223); BANA (Partial Account No. 488893299741..., 532902199949.... and 547415129031....); BMW FINANCIAL SERVICES (Partial Account No. 400039....): CACH (Account No. 120002911273); CAPITAL (Partial Account No. 57805214859...); CHASE (Partial Account No. 156301442.... and 424631515414....); FORD (Partial Account No. 4316....); RESURGENT (Partial Account No. 547415129031....); CITI

- (Partial Account No. 603532021556....); TOWNSGATE (Partial Account No. 1000C100004....) and USBANK (Partial Account No. 479853120589....) (collectively "Accounts").
- 12. After careful review of the above referenced accounts, Plaintiff determined that the accounts do not belong to him.
- 13. Consequently, on or about February 17, 2012, pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681i(a)(1), Plaintiff submitted written disputes to the CRAs stating that the above referenced accounts do not belong to him.
- 14. Based on information and belief, the CRAs contacted the Defendants about Plaintiff's dispute of the accounts furnished by each Defendant.
- 15. Following the submission of his credit bureau disputes, Plaintiff mailed dispute letters to Defendants on or about March 1, 2012.
- 16. Plaintiff mailed dispute letters, identical in content, to Defendants ADVANTA; AMEX; BANA; BMW; CAPITAL; CHASE; FORD; CITI; TOWNSGATE; and USBANK to provide documentation substantiating the existence, ownership, and accuracy of their accounts as reported to the CRAs. Alternatively, Plaintiff requested deletion of the inaccurate accounts reported by these Defendants in the absence of the verification documents.
- 17. Plaintiff mailed separate set of dispute letters, identical in content, to Defendants CACH and RESURGENT, requesting validation of their purported debts and verification of accuracy of their collection accounts as reported to the CRAs. In the absence of the requested verification documents, Plaintiff requested deletion of the accounts reported by these Defendants.
- 18. In contrast to the remaining Defendants, CACH and RESURGENT are also third party debt collectors. As such, Defendants CACH and RESURGENT had further obligation to communicate with Plaintiff regarding the alleged debts prior to their credit reporting. Defendants CACH and RESURGENT, however, failed to comply with this obligation. Consequently, Defendants CACH and RESURGENT had reported debts to the CRAs without notice and validation.

- 19. Several days following Plaintiff's credit bureau dispute, updated credit reports showed that Defendants had allegedly verified the accounts to the CRAs. When Plaintiff requested proof of the alleged verification, Defendants failed to provide any such supporting documentation.
- 20. On or about April 2, 2012 Plaintiff sent letters to Defendants, through his authorized agent, to follow up on his previous requests for production of documents to support their alleged verifications. Defendants and each one of them failed to respond properly or at all to Plaintiff's follow-up requests and again failed to produce any proof of Defendants' alleged verification of the accounts.
- 21. On or about April 20, 2012, Plaintiff sent letters to Defendants, through his authorized agent, with a final request to produce verification documents or proceed with deletion of the disputed accounts. Defendants and each one of them once again failed to address Plaintiff's request.
- 22. Plaintiff submitted three (3) separate letters to each Defendant requesting proof of investigation and verification in support of Defendants alleged verification with the CRAs. Defendants, however, repeatedly and continuously disregarded these requests and failed to produce such proof. Thus, it is reasonable to presume that Defendants do not have confirmative support for their alleged investigation and verification with the CRAs.
- 23. As of this date, Defendants tenaciously continue to report the unverified accounts on Plaintiff's credit reports maintained by the CRAs.
- 24. As a result of Defendants' reporting of the unverified, hence, inaccurate accounts, Plaintiff's creditworthiness is negatively impacted. Defendants' conduct in turn caused the Plaintiff, as a consumer and borrower, to suffer financial and emotional distress, including but not limited to the following:
 - a. Actual damages caused by monetary losses relating to denials to obtain new credit, loss of existing funds, loss of credit and loan opportunities, excessive or elevated interest rates and finance charges;

- b. Out of pocket expenses incurred as a result of communications with Defendants, in addition to fees paid to attorneys and credit professionals for the assistance attained in the process;
- c. Emotional distress and mental anguish associated with derogatory credit information reported by Defendants about Plaintiff to parties with access to Plaintiff's credit reports;
- d. Decreased credit rating and creditworthiness which resulted in denial to obtain new credit, employment or housing on future attempts.

FIRST COUNT - Against All Defendants

(Willful Violations of FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b))

- 25. Plaintiff repeats and repleads each and every allegation contained in all prior paragraphs and incorporates the same herein by reference.
- 26. Defendants knowingly, intentionally, and willfully disregarded their obligations imposed by FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) upon furnishers of information with respect to the "reinvestigation duties" in the event of consumer disputes initiated through CRAs.
- 27. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b)(1) because they failed to conduct proper investigation of disputed information.
- 28. Defendants violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2 (b)(2) because they failed to take proper action of verification, correction, or deletion of the information Plaintiff disputed within the statutorily mandated investigation period of thirty (30) days.
 - 29. Defendants' violations actually and proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries.
- 30. Plaintiff prays for declaratory relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and § 2202, that Defendants' are in violation of the FCRA.
- 31. Plaintiff further prays for actual and statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n (a)(1)(A); costs and attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n (c); and punitive damages for Defendant's willful noncompliance pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n (a)(2).

SECOND COUNT - Against All Defendants

(Negligent Violations of FCRA, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b))

- 32. Plaintiff repeats and repleads each and every allegation contained in all prior paragraphs and incorporates the same herein by reference.
- 33. Defendants' negligently violated 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b) because they breached their duty to report accurate credit information which actually and proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries.
 - 34. Defendants' violations actually and proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries.
- 35. Plaintiff prays for declaratory relief pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and § 2202, that Defendants violated the FCRA.
- 36. Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(1), and costs and attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681o(a)(2).

THIRD COUNT - Against All Defendants

(Intentional Violation of CCRAA, Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a))

- 37. Plaintiff repeats and repleads each and every allegation contained in all prior paragraphs and incorporates the same herein by reference.
- 38. Defendants knowingly, willfully, and intentionally disregarded their obligation to accurately report credit information pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a)— to refrain from reporting information that Defendants knew to be inaccurate or the accuracy of which was not known nor verified at the time of furnishing.
 - 39. Defendants' violations actually and proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries.
- 40. As a result of the Defendants' violations of the CCRAA, Plaintiff suffered personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish, and emotional distress. Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(2)(A); punitive damages for Defendant's willful noncompliance pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(2)(B); and injunctive relief ordering deletion of the subject unverified accounts from reporting with the CRAs pursuant to Cal.Civ. Code § 1785.31(b).

FOURTH COUNT - Against All Defendants

(Negligent Violation of CCRAA, Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a))

41. Plaintiff repeats and repleads each and every allegation contained in all prior

paragraphs and incorporates the same herein by reference.

- 42. Defendants negligently breached their duty to report accurate credit information by disregarding their obligations under Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.25(a)— to refrain from reporting information that Defendants should have known to be inaccurate or the accuracy of which was not known nor verified at time of furnishing.
 - 43. Defendants' violations actually and proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries.
- 44. As a result of the Defendant's violations, Plaintiff suffered personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish, and emotional distress. Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages, including court costs and attorney's fees; pain and suffering, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(a)(1); and injunctive relief ordering deletion of the unverified accounts from reporting with the CRAs pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1785.31(b).

FIFTH COUNT - Against Defendants CACH and RESURGENT (Violations of FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(e), 1692(f) and 1692(g))

- 45. Plaintiff repeats and repleads each and every allegation contained in all prior paragraphs and incorporates the same herein by reference.
- 46. Defendants CACH and RESURGENT knowingly, willfully, and intentionally disregarded the notice and disclosure requirements imposed under 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)—requiring Defendants CACH and RESURGENT to notify Plaintiff of the purported debts and of Plaintiff's rights under the FDCPA.
- 47. Defendants CACH and RESURGENT knowingly, willfully, and intentionally failed to cease collection activities.
- 48. Defendants disregarded Plaintiff's request for debt validation but continued credit reporting on the alleged debts in the absence of proper validation of debts in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(b).
- 49. Defendants used false, deceptive, and misleading representation or means in their attempts to collect purported debts from Plaintiff. Defendants falsely represented the character, amount, or legal status of debts in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(2). The character, amount and legal status of their purported debts, as reported to the CRAs, were not communicated to Plaintiff

prior to the reporting and they were not verified even after Plaintiff's dispute.

- 50. Defendants used unfair or unconscionable means in their attempts to collect alleged debts in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692f. Specifically, Defendants reported unverified debts in Plaintiff's name to coerce Plaintiff to make payments.
 - 51. Defendants' violations actually and proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries.
- 52. As a result of Defendants' violations of the FDCPA, Plaintiff prays for declaratory relief that Defendant's conduct violated the FDCPA. Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2); and costs and attorney's fees per 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3).

SIXTH COUNT - Against Defendants CACH and RESURGENT (Violation of RFDCPA, Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17)

- 53. Plaintiff repeats and repleads each and every allegation contained in all prior paragraphs and incorporates the same herein by reference.
- 54. Defendants CACH and RESURGENT used false representations and unfair or unconscionable means in attempt to collect their alleged debts from Plaintiff because they furnished, without notice, and continue to report unverified debts in Plaintiff's name. By such reporting, Defendants CACH and RESURGENT falsely infer that the Plaintiff is indebted with them. Their conduct is intended to coerce Plaintiff into payment of debts for which Defendants have no proof of validity or right to collection in violation of Cal. Civil Code § 1788.17.
 - 55. Defendants' violations actually and proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries.
- 56. As a result of Defendants' violations of the RFDCPA, Plaintiff suffered personal humiliation, embarrassment, mental anguish, and emotional distress. Plaintiff is entitled to actual damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(a); statutory damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b); and costs and attorney's fees per Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(c).

SEVENTH COUNT - Against All Defendants (DEFAMATION BY LIBEL)

57. Plaintiff repeats and repleads each and every allegation contained in all prior paragraphs and incorporates the same herein by reference.

27

28

responsible for the reporting of unverified and inaccurate credit information about Plaintiff to the CRAs. Defendants inaccurately published information was accessible to parties with access to Plaintiff's credit reports.

- 59. The information disseminated via publication was made of and concerning the Plaintiff and was so understood by those who viewed the publication.
 - 60. Defendants' violations actually and proximately caused Plaintiff's injuries.
- 61. The information is libelous in that it causes enormous harm to Plaintiff's creditworthiness and defamed Plaintiff in the eyes of her current and potential creditors.
- 62. The publication of Defendants' unverified accounts on Plaintiff's credit reports and repeated failure to correct such publication was done with malice to injure Plaintiff for the direct benefit of Defendants. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks an award of punitive damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF FOR ALL COUNTS

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief against Defendants as follows:

- 1. Actual damages;
- 2. Statutory damages;
- 3. Civil penalties;
- 4. Legal fees and costs;
- 5. Prejudgment and postjudgment interest;
- 6. Punitive damages;
- 7. Declaratory relief;
- 8. Injunctive relief;
- 9. For additional remedies as the court deems proper.

Plaintiff requests trial by jury.

DATED: October 11, 2012

KAASS LAW

Vahag Matevosian, Attorney for Plaintiff

Name & Address:					
Vahag Matevosian, Esq. (State Bar No. 283710)					
313 East Broadway, #944					
Glendale, California 91209					
UNITED STATES I CENTRAL DISTRIC	DISTRICT COURT T OF CALIFORNIA				
	CASE NUMBER				
GEORGE DAGLIYAN, An Individual					
PLAINTIFF(S) V.	CV12-08775(DMLPDWx)				
ADVANTA BANK CORP, a Utah Corporation					
(See attached for additional defendants)					
`	SUMMONS				
DEFENDANT(S).					
must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached ▼ c counterclaim □ cross-claim or a motion under Rule 12 or motion must be served on the plaintiff's attorney, Val 313 East Broadway, #944, Glendale, CA 91209	As on you (not counting the day you received it), you omplaint 2 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer hag Matevosian 1. If you fail to do so,				
udgment by default will be entered against you for the re	elief demanded in the complaint. You also must file				
your answer or motion with the court. OCT - 12 2012	Clerk, U.S. District Court JULIE PRADO				
Dated:	By:				
	Deputy Clerk 1154				
	(Seal of the Court)				
Use 60 days if the defendant is the United States or a United States (0 days by Rule 12(a)(3)].	agency, or is an officer or employee of the United States. Allowed				

SUMMONS

CV-01A (12/07)

Case 2:12-cv-08775-CBM-PJW Document 1 Filed 10/12/12 Page 12 of 15 Page ID #:12

Case 2:12-cv-08775-CBM-PJW Document 1 Filed 10/12/12 Page 13 of 15 Page ID #:13

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

I (a) PLAINTIFFS (Check box if you are representing yourself □) GEORGE DAGLIYAN				DEFENDANTS Advanta Bank Corp, American Express, Bank of America, BMW Financial Services, CACH LLC, Capital One, Chase Bank USA, Ford Motor Credit, Resurgent Capital Services, Citibank, Townsgate, US Bancorp						
(b) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address and Telephone Number. If you are represent yourself, provide same.)				Attorneys	(If Known)					
Vahag Matevosian, Esq. (State Bar No. 283710) 313 East Broadway, #944 Glendale, California 91209Telephone. 310-943-1171										
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTIO	N (Place an X in one box only.)				PRINCIPAL PAR' x for plaintiff and c			s Only		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	✓ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	·)	Citizen of This S	itate	PT I □ 1	DEF	Incorporated or I		PTF □ 4	DEF □ 4
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendan	t □ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citiz of Parties in Item III)	enship	Citizen of Anoth	er State	□ 2	□2	Incorporated and of Business in A		□ 5	□ 5
			Citizen or Subject	et of a Fore	eign Country 🗆 3	□ 3	Foreign Nation		□6	□ 6
IV. ORIGIN (Place an X in on	e box only.)									
Proceeding State C	ourt Appellate Court	Re	eopened		ed from another dis		Dist	rict Judg	eal to I ge from istrate	ı
V. REQUESTED IN COMPL	AINT: JURY DEMAND: 🗹	Yes □	No (Check 'Yes'	only if de	manded in complai	nt.)				
CLASS ACTION under F.R.C	.P. 23: □ Yes MNo		≤ M	IONEY D	EMANDED IN C	OMPLA	AINT: \$ NOT YE	T ASSERTED)	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION (Cit	e the U.S. Civil Statute under whi	ch you	are filing and writ	e a brief st	atement of cause.	Do not c	ite jurisdictional st	atutes unless div	ersity.)	1
	c. 1681; Cal. Code 1785.25 & 178	38; 15 L	J.S.C Sec 1692; D	efamation	by Libel					
VII. NATURE OF SUIT (Place	e an X in one box only.)									
OTHER STATUTES □ 400 State Reapportionment	CONTRACT □ 110 Insurance	***************************************	TORTS RSONAL INJURY		TORTS PERSONAL	CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE	PRISONER PETITIONS	LAE □ 710 Fair La	taretelessesses	ndards
☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking	☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act		Airplane Airplane Product	VAV#71AV##7V	PROPERTY Other Fraud	□ 510	Motions to Vacate Sentence	Act		
□ 450 Commerce/ICC	☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	1	Liability	1	Truth in Lending		Habeas Corpus	☐ 720 Labor/N Relation		
Rates/etc.	☐ 150 Recovery of	□ 320	Assault, Libel &	□ 380	Other Personal		General	□ 730 Labor/N		
☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced	Overpayment & Enforcement of	□ 330	Slander Fed. Employers'	7 205	Property Damage			Reporti		
and Corrupt	Judgment		Liability	L 383	Property Damage Product Liability	1 340	Mandamus/ Other	Disclos ☐ 740 Railway		
Organizations	☐ 151 Medicare Act	ı	Marine	В	ANKRUPTCY	□ 550		□ 790 Other L		ACC
	☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	343	Marine Product Liability	□ 422			Prison Condition	Litigati		
☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service	Student Loan (Excl. Veterans)		Motor Vehicle	□ 423	158 Withdrawal 28	SUSSESSES	ORFEITURE / PENALTY	□ 791 Empl. F		
□ 850 Securities/Commodities/		□ 355	Motor Vehicle Product Liability	- 1	USC 157		Agriculture	Security PROPERTY		its
Exchange	Overpayment of	□ 360	Other Personal	C	IVIL RIGHTS		Other Food &	□ 820 Copyriş		
□ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410	Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits		Injury		Voting Employment	m 435	Drug Drug Roleted	□ 830 Patent	1.	
	☐ 190 Other Contract	1 362	Personal Injury- Med Malpractice	I / /-	Housing/Acco-	023	Drug Related Seizure of	□ 840 Tradem SOCIAL S		ΤV
☐ 891 Agricultural Act	☐ 195 Contract Product	□ 365	Personal Injury-		mmodations		Property 21 USC	□ 861 HIA (1:	395ff)	****************
☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act	Liability □ 196 Franchise		Product Liability		Welfare		881	□ 862 Black L		
☐ 893 Environmental Matters	REAL PROPERTY	308	Asbestos Persona Injury Product	u 1445	American with Disabilities -		Liquor Laws R.R. & Truck	□ 863 DIWC/ (405(g)		
☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act	☐ 210 Land Condemnation	indica cultura democratic	Liability		Employment		Airline Regs	□ 864 SSID T		′I
	□ 220 Foreclosure		MMIGRATION	□ 446	American with	□ 660	Occupational	□ 865 RSI (40	anvas Samiaa	g didag gara mangsanan ya
	☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment☐ 240 Torts to Land	1 402	Application		Disabilities - Other	□ 690	Safety /Health	FEDERAL T □ 870 Taxes (
	□ 245 Tort Product Liability	□ 463	Habeas Corpus-	□ 440	Other Civil	000	Other	or Defe		amin
	☐ 290 All Other Real Property	□ 465	Alien Detainee Other Immigratio Actions		Rights			□ 871 IRS-Th USC 76	ird Par	ty 26
		<u> </u>	A. 6%.	aria distra d	entered and the	<u></u>				
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: Case Number:										

AFTER COMPLETING THE FRONT SIDE OF FORM CV-71, COMPLETE THE INFORMATION REQUESTED BELOW.

CV-71 (05/08)

Case 2:12-cv-08775-CBM-PJW Document 1 Filed 10/12/12 Page 14 of 15 Page ID #:14

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL COVER SHEET

VIII(a). II If yes, list o	DENTICAL CASES: Ha	s this action been pr	eviously filed in this court an	nd dismissed, remanded or closed? ≝No □ Yes				
VIII(b). RELATED CASES: Have any cases been previously filed in this court that are related to the present case? No Yes If yes, list case number(s):								
	Civil cases are deemed related if a previously filed case and the present case: (Check all boxes that apply) A. Arise from the same or closely related transactions, happenings, or events; or B. Call for determination of the same or substantially related or similar questions of law and fact; or C. For other reasons would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges; or D. Involve the same patent, trademark or copyright, and one of the factors identified above in a, b or c also is present.							
			ion, use an additional sheet if					
(a) List the County in this District; California County outside of this District; State if other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named plaintiff resides. Check here if the government, its agencies or employees is a named plaintiff. If this box is checked, go to item (b).								
County in	this District:*	····		California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
LOS ANGELES				VARIOUS				
(b) List the	e County in this District; here if the government, it	California County o	utside of this District; State in yees is a named defendant.	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH named defendant resides. If this box is checked, go to item (c).				
County in	this District:*			California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
LOS ANGELES				VARIOUS				
(c) List the	e County in this District; In land condemnation ca	California County o	utside of this District; State is	f other than California; or Foreign Country, in which EACH claim arose.				
County in this District:*				California County outside of this District; State, if other than California; or Foreign Country				
LOS ANGELES			:	VARIOUS				
* Los Angel Note: In lan	les, Orange, San Bernar d condemnation cases, us	dino, Riverside, Ve	entura, Santa Barbara, or S tract of land involved	San Lus Obispo Counties				
	URE OF ATTORNEY (Date 10/10/2012				
or other	papers as required by lav	v. This form, approv	ed by the Judicial Conference	mation contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings e of the United States in September 1974, is required pursuant to Local Rule 3-1 is not filed ing the civil docket sheet. (For more detailed instructions, see separate instructions sheet.)				
Key to Statis	stical codes relating to So	cial Security Cases:						
	Nature of Suit Code	Abbreviation	Substantive Statement of	Cause of Action				
	861	НІА	All claims for health insurance benefits (Medicare) under Title 18, Part A, of the Social Security Act, as amended. Also, include claims by hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, etc., for certification as providers of services under the program. (42 U.S.C. 1935FF(b))					
	862	BL	All claims for "Black Lung" benefits under Title 4, Part B, of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969. (30 U.S.C. 923)					
	863	DIWC	All claims filed by insured workers for disability insurance benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended; plus all claims filed for child's insurance benefits based on disability. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
	863	DIWW	All claims filed for widows or widowers insurance benefits based on disability under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. 405(g))					
•	864	SSID	All claims for supplementa Act, as amended.	al security income payments based upon disability filed under Title 16 of the Social Security				
	865	RSI	All claims for retirement (old age) and survivors benefits under Title 2 of the Social Security Act, as amended. (42 U.S.C. (g))					

CV-71 (05/08)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF ASSIGNMENT TO UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE FOR DISCOVERY

This case has been assigned to District Judge Consuelo B. Marshall and the assigned discovery Magistrate Judge is Patrick J. Walsh.

The case number on all documents filed with the Court should read as follows:

CV12- 8775 CBM (PJWx)

Pursuant to General Order 05-07 of the United States District Court for the Central District of California, the Magistrate Judge has been designated to hear discovery related motions.

All discovery related motions should be noticed on the calendar of the Magistrate Judge

NOTICE TO COUNSEL

A copy of this notice must be served with the summons and complaint on all defendants (if a removal action is filed, a copy of this notice must be served on all plaintiffs).

Subsequent documents must be filed at the following location:

Western Division
312 N. Spring St., Rm. G-8
Los Angeles, CA 90012

Southern Division
411 West Fourth St., Rm. 1-053
Santa Ana, CA 92701-4516

Eastern Division
3470 Twelfth St., Rm. 134
Riverside, CA 92501

Failure to file at the proper location will result in your documents being returned to you.